

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,364	10/21/2003	Apala Mukherjee	2052.PHM	4240
35157	7590 03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY P.O. BOX 6500 BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807-3300			CHAUDHRY, SAEED T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1746	
		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/690,364	MUKHERJEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this assumption	Saeed T. Chaudhry	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	uon appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Apply be timely filed CHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>28 February 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) [This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-10 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-10 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed February 28, 2006 have been acknowledged by the examiner and entered. Claims 4, 7 and 11-14 have been canceled and claim 1-3, 5-6, and 8-10 are pending in this application for consideration. Final rejection mailed on January 1, 2006 has been withdrawn by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitahara.

Kitahara (6,615,852) discloses a cleaning system having a first bath (3) and a second bath (18). The first bath contains 4 to 20% EDTA (ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid), 1.5 to about 10% sodium hydroxide, 4.5 to about 24% surface active agent and remainder water. The second bath (18) comprises water for rinsing. The reference fails to disclose third and forth baths.

Art Unit: 1746

It would have been obvious at the time applicant invented the claimed apparatus to add another system having two more baths because duplicating the system is said to be obvious for multiplied effect (see St. Regis paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc., 193 USPQ 8,11 (7th Cir. 1977). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would combine two systems of Kitahara to produce multiple baths. With regard to claims 2-3, 5-6 and 8-10, Kitahara apparatus is capable of holding these compositions and Kitahara compositions of the bath are within the range of claimed composition. One of ordinary skill in the art would use cleaning composition at higher temperatures as it is well known in the art that cleaning compositions are more effective at higher temperatures.

The applicant is advised to purse method claims in a divisional application since apparatus is not patentable.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-6 and 8-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saeed T. Chaudhry whose telephone number is (571) 272-1298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Barr, can be reached on (571)-272-1414. The fax phone number for non-final is (703)-872-9306.

When filing a FAX in Gp 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

Art Unit: 1746

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Saeed T. Chaudhry

Patent Examiner

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER